

Loris High 26010

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Excellent	N/A
2002	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Average	Excellent	N/A
2004			

	Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	63.8	62.7	67.7	57.2	54.0	53.6
Passed 2 subtests	21.5	18.0	21.0	18.7	20.6	21.1
Passed 1 subtest	9.4	12.7	8.1	13.7	14.6	15.3
Passed no subtests	5.4	6.7	3.2	10.4	10.7	9.7

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS						
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	129	95.3	137	8.0	153	70.6
Gender						
Male	53	90.6	57	5.3	69	60.9
Female	76	98.7	80	10.0	84	78.6
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	56	91.1	62	0.0	72	59.7
Hispanic	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S
White	72	98.6	74	14.9	80	80.0
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	12	91.7	20	0.0	27	14.8
Students without disabilities	117	95.7	117	9.4	126	82.5
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	1	I/S	137	8.0	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	128	95.3	137	8.0	153	70.6
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	77	93.5	89	3.4	103	68.0
Full-pay meals	51	98.0	48	16.7	50	76.0
n = number of students on which per	centage is calc	ulated	.,			

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	8.0	5.5
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	8.0	5.6
Seniors who met the grade point average	48.2	43.9

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Loris High 2601008

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 734)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	12.7% 95.1%	Down from 19.9% Up from 94.2%	9.0% 95.5%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	10.0% 20.3%	Up from 9.2% Down from 20.5%	4.1% 13.3%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	12.0% 2.5%	Down from 16.1% Up from 1.9%	13.6% 2.3%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	7.8% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate	4.3%	Up from 3.6%	3.1%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	15.4%	Down from 23.2%	2.2%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	r 309	Up from 215	319	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	12.9%	Up from 0.5%	22.0%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	80.5%	Down from 86.5%	73.5%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	I/S	98.1%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 53)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	43.4% 73.6%	Down from 43.6% Up from 63.6%	41.4% 75.0%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A 82.0%	N/A Up from 81.3%	N/A 82.0%	N/A 85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.1% \$39,389	Up from 95.7% Down 4.4%	95.4% \$38,033	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	13.8 days	Up from 12.4 days	10.3 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	11.6 to 1	Down from 25.2 to 1	25.2 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.1% \$8,078	Up from 89.2% Up 6.9%	89.3% \$6,748	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	52.1% Excellent	Down from 52.6% No change	53.6% Good	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	90.2% ves	Up from 89.2% N/A	85.6% yes	87.8% yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	,00		,,,,	,555

Abbreviations	for Missing Data

Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools

Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Our District

N/A

N/A

State

N/A

N/A

Loris High 2601008

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

During the 2002-2003 school year, Loris High School students showed improvements in academic achievement and excelled in extracurricular activities. Students in the class of 2003 were offered over two million dollars in scholarships. The FFA chapter received a National Two-Star rating, and the JROTC was named as an honor unit. Students engaged in a number of community-based service-learning projects and summer enrichment programs.

With input from district office staff and consultants, we updated the strategies in our academic improvement plan. We implemented programs that placed emphasis on identifying and addressing the academic needs of individual students. Students took yearlong courses in ninth- and tenth-grade English and math to provide ample time for them to master the standards in those courses and to prepare for the South Carolina Exit Examination and end-of-course tests. Teachers across the curriculum used focus lessons to introduce and reinforce standards. Teachers analyzed pretest and post-test results, along with benchmark test results, to identify the standards that students had mastered and those that they had not mastered. An administrator and teachers talked one-on-one with students about their academic performance based on their exit-examination and SAT/ACT pretest and post-test results, as well as their achievement goals. Students had the opportunity to participate in after-school tutorial and counseling programs and to utilize computer labs. Teachers and administrators participated in staff development sessions on strategies for teaching reading and writing across the curriculum and standards-based instruction and assessment.

We made progress meeting the performance goals in our five-year school renewal plan. The strategies in our improvement plan have had a positive impact on our students' academic performance. The average SAT score increased significantly. The percentage of first-attempt tenth-grade students passing the South Carolina Exit Examination increased in math and reading.

We face challenges in continuing to increase the percent of tenth-grade students who meet the standard on all three parts of the South Carolina Exit Examination on the first attempt, in increasing the number of students eligible for LIFE Scholarships, in reducing the number of ninth-grade repeaters, and in increasing student attendance. However, in focusing our time and energy on strategies for achieving positive results, we do anticipate continued improvement in student performance and achievement. The administration, faculty, and staff are committed to working collaboratively with parents and community members to ensure the academic success of all of our students.

# EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS BOOME MYRICK, Principal

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	53	111	46
Percent satisfied with learning environment	86.8%	64.0%	82.2%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.6%	68.5%	62.8%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	48.1%	80.7%	73.3%

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

#### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.